



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,993	08/10/2006	Harue Nakashima	0756-7715	4536
31780	7590	06/25/2010	EXAMINER	
Robinson Intellectual Property Law Office, P.C.			NGUYEN, VU ANH	
3975 Fair Ridge Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 20 North				1796
Fairfax, VA 22033				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/25/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/588,993	NAKASHIMA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Vu Anh Nguyen	1796

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 June 2010.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 3,5,6,9 and 11-17 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) 3,6,9 and 12 is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 5,11 and 13-17 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>06/01/2010</u> .	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This Office action is in response to the amendment filed 06/01/2010 and the verified English translation of the foreign priority application filed 06/22/2010. Claims 3, 5, 6, 9 and 11-17 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

3. Rationales for the following rejections have been adequately set forth in previous Office action.

4. Claims 5, 11 and 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kido et al. (US 2005/0084712) in view of Zhang et al. (Synthetic Metals 137 (2003) 1111-1112).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 3, 6, 9 and 12 are allowed.

6. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Kido fails to teach a device having a hole-transporting layer made of a mixture of a metal oxide and the claimed carbazole (claims 3 and 9) or a device having a light-emitting layer sandwiched between two layers wherein each of these two layers comprises the

claimed carbazole derivative in mixture with a metal oxide or an electron accepting material (claims 6 and 12).

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

7. The examiner hereby confirms that the indication of claims 1-17 being allowed on the Office Action Summary sheet mailed 03/01/2010 is an error. Claims 1-17 should have been indicated as rejected.

8. Applicant's arguments filed 06/01/2010 have been fully considered. With regard to the arguments relating to claims 6 and 12 (see the full paragraph on page 15 of the Remarks), the arguments are persuasive and the rejection of these two claims has been withdrawn. As to the rejection of claims 5, 11 and 13-17 over Kido in view of Zhang, the arguments are not persuasive because of the following reasons. First, the claimed mixed layer is disclosed as a hole-generating layer [0010-0013]. Second, what is meant by the "potential" in the claims seems to be the work functions of the electrodes [0013 & 0014]. As mentioned in the previous action, Kido teaches that the anode can have a work function higher or lower than that of the cathode. The latter enables to prior art anode to read on the claimed second electrode. This fact together with figure 6 and its description in paragraphs [0100 & 0101] reveals that Kido has all the limitations set forth

in these claims except for the carbazole derivative, which is readily remedied by Zhang as previously discussed. With regard to the rejection of claims 3, 9 and 13-17 over Yamazaki over Zhang, the arguments are moot as the rejection has been withdrawn in view of the verified English translation of the foreign priority documents.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vu Anh Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)270-5454. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 AM-6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Vu Anh Nguyen
Examiner
Art Unit 1796

/David Wu/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796